Art. 19. That every man, for any injury done to him in Right to have his person or property ought to have remedy by the course justice. of the Law of the Land, and ought to have justice and right, freely without sale, fully without any denial, and speedily without delay, according to Law of the Land.

Wright vs. Wright, 2 Md., 452. United States Electric Power and Light Co., vs. State, 79 Md., 63. Knee vs. City Pass. Ry., 87 Md., 624.

- Art. 20. That the trial of facts, where they arise, is one of Trial of facts. the greatest securities of the lives, liberties and estate of the People.
- Art. 21. That in all criminal prosecutions, every man hath Criminal a right to be informed of the accusation against him; to have tions; ina copy of the Indictment, or Charge in due time (if required) to prepare for his defence; to be allowed counsel; to be con- Counsel and fronted with the witnesses against him; to have process for witnesses. his witnesses; to examine the witnesses for and against him on oath; and to a speedy trial by an impartial jury, without Trial by jury. whose unanimous consent he ought not to be found guilty.

Ford vs. State, 12 Md., 514. Davis vs. State, 39 Md., 355. State vs. Glenn, 54 Md., 572. John vs. State, 55 Md., 350. Danner vs. State, 89 Md., 225. Lancaster vs. State, 90 Md., 213. Guy vs. State, 96 Md., 694.

That no man ought to be compelled to give evi-Evidence dence against himself in a criminal case. one-self.

Day vs. State, 7 Gill, 321. Broadbent vs. State, 7 Md., 416. Blum vs. State, 94 Md., 381-2.

Art. 23. That no man ought to be taken or imprisoned or disseized of his freehold, liberties or privileges, or outlawed, Freemen not or exiled, or in any manner destroyed, or deprived of his life, to be imprisliberty or property, but by the judgment of his peers, or by the Law of the Land.

Wright vs. Wright, 2 Md., 429. Mayor, &c., Baltimore vs. Horn, 25 Md., 206. Davis vs. Helbig, 27 Md., 462. Roth vs. House of Refuge, 31 Md., 329. Grove vs. Todd, 41 Md., 633. Singer vs. State, 72 Md., 464. Ulman vs. M. & C. C. Baltimore, 72 Md., 587-609. Scharf vs. Tasker, 73 Md., 378. Danner vs. State, 89 Md., 225. Sprigg vs. Garrett Park, 89 Md., 406. State vs. Broadhurst, 89 Md., 565. State vs. Knowder, 90 Md., 653. Lancaster vs. State, 90 Md., 213. Lurman vs. Hitchens, 90 Md., 17. Board of Police, Balto. City, vs. Wagner, 93 Md., 182. Wagner vs. Husbur, 95 Md., 519 Wagner vs. Upshur, 95 Md., 519.

Art. 24. That slavery shall not be re-established in this State; but, having been abolished, under the policy and authority of the United States, compensation, in consideration thereof, is due from the United States.